



JFW

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/005,878	
	Filing Date	December 7, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Tommy Lindblad	
	Art Unit	2613	
	Examiner Name	Hanh Phan	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	19378.0019

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) (Replacement Figs 1-5) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Substance of the Interview
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm	Bingham McCutchen LLP 3000 K Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007		
Signature			
Printed Name	Chadwick A. Jackson		
Date	May 18, 2006	Reg. No.	46,495

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Tommy Lindblad

Serial No.: 10/005,878

Filed: December 7, 2001

Attorney Docket: 19378.0019

Art Unit: 2613

Examiner: Hanh Phan

For: Methods of Connecting and Testing Interfaces For CWDM Fiber-Optic Systems

Substance of the Interview

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Va. 22313

Sir:

A telephonic interview was conducted on April 5, 2006 with Examiner Hanh Phan. In that interview U.S. Patent No. 5,825,949 issued to Choy et al. was discussed. More particularly, the Applicant's representative pointed out to the Examiner that the entire card disclosed by Choy et al. would have to be considered a transceiver since the laser 46 and detector 56 are both needed to meet the transceiver limitation of the independent claims and that Choy et al. did not disclose a receiving section designed to receive an opto-electric transceiver module (i.e., the card) as recited in the independent claims. Accordingly, Choy et al. did not teach the independent claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 18, 2006

By:

Chadwick A. Jackson
Registration No. 46,495
(202) 424-7500 Telephone
(202) 295-8478 Facsimile